

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,894	03/31/2004	Stephen H. Tang	INTEL-0056	4982
34610 7.	590 08/18/2006		EXAMINER	
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP			PHAN, TRONG Q	
P.O. BOX 221200 CHANTILLY, VA 20153			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2827	
		DATE MAILED: 08/18/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/812,894	TANG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		TRONG PHAN	2827			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ju</u>	<u>ine 2006</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-37 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsumura et al., 5,365,475, in view of Oliver, 4,567,577.

Matsumura et al., 5,365,475, discloses in Fig. 15 a memory system comprising: micro processor 104;

program memory 106 comprising a plurality of SRAM cells 2 as shown in Fig. 3, each of SRAM cells 2 comprising:

first transistor pair 21 and 23 coupled between voltage supply line 27 and GROUND 28; second transistor pair 22 and 24 coupled between voltage supply line 27 and GROUND 28; voltage supply line 27 selectively connect to first supply voltage V1 in a first mode and connected to a second supply voltage V2 in a second mode;

first access transistor 256;

second access transistor 26;

What is not shown in Figs. 3 and 15 of Matsumura et al., 5,365,475, is the bias transistor as recited in claims 1-8 and 30-35 and the switching device as recited in claims 9-29 and 36-37.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,894

Art Unit: 2827

Oliver, 4,567,577, discloses in Fig. 2 the teaching of activating NMOS transistor 35 for applying a forward negative bias voltage VSS to the substrate of the two transistors 25 and 27 of the SRAM cell (four transistors 24, 25, 27 and 29) in response to the WRITE signal on line 38 is inactive which is inherently present only during the non-ACTIVE WRITE mode/state of the memory device (see lines 1-10, column 3). This effect is called a body effect and uses the so-called back gate bias to control transistor 25 and 27 (see lines 14-20, column 3).

It would have been obvious under 35 USC 103(a) to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Figs. 3 and 15 of Matsumura et al., 5,365,475, by the teaching as taught in Fig. 2 of Oliver, 4,567,577, for the purpose of providing the body effect to during the non-ACTIVE WRITE mode/state of the memory device to prevent overdrive or overpower the previous state of the SRAM cell in Figs. 3 and 5 of Matsumura et al., 5,365,475 (see lines 14-20, column 3 of Oliver, 4,567,577).

#### Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 2827

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 6/6/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because of the following reasons:

All objections to the drawings of the present invention and to the specification as set forth in paragraphs 1-3 of the last office action of 3/6/06 have been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments.

Oliver, 4,567,577, does clearly disclose in Fig. 2 the teaching of activating NMOS transistor 35 for applying a forward negative bias voltage VSS to the substrate of the two transistors 25 and 27 of the SRAM cell (four transistors 24, 25, 27 and 29) in response to the WRITE signal on line 38 is inactive which is inherently present only during the non-ACTIVE WRITE mode/state of the memory device (see lines 1-10, column 3). This effect is called a body effect and uses the so-called back gate bias to control transistor 25 and 27 (see lines 14-20, column 3). Therefore, the rejection of claims 1-37 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsumura et al., 5,365,475, in view of Oliver, 4,567,577, is still considered to be totally proper, is repeated and is made FINAL as set forth above.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TRONG PHAN whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 10/812,894 Page 5

Art Unit: 2827

1794. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, AMIR ZARABIAN can be reached on (571)272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TRONG PHAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER